

Level Properties

Energy: for all discrete levels and giant resonances; identify analog resonances. Adopt minimum number of levels consistent with source datasets.

J_π:

- Use fewest and best args. For definite J_π; the more args. the better for uncertain J or π . Convince reader; enable quick check on impact of new data.
- Use flags for long, repetitive arguments (e.g., “J_π based on presence of primary γ from $1/2^+$ capture state in (n, γ) E=thermal and $\log f_{1/2} < 8.5$ from $1/2^-$ in ... EC decay”).
- If directly measured (e.g., atomic beam), give method.
- μ no longer a strong argument.
- Avoid multiply-placed γ 's in “ γ to J π ” type arguments.

- “ γ ’s to $3/2+$ and $5/2-$ ” (2 levels) differs from “ γ ’s to $3/2+$, $5/2-$ ” (1 level).
- “ γ to $J\pi$ ” is a weak argument.
- In “ γ to ...” args., level $J\pi$ is what matters, not $E(\text{level})$.
- Use “logft=...from $J\pi=...$ ” and $L(d,p)=2$ for $9/2+$ target” type arguments.

$T_{1/2}$ (or Γ):

- Give bare-atom values in comment (e.g., “ $T_{1/2}(^{52}\text{Fe}^{26+})=...$ ”).
- Specify “from $B(E2)\uparrow$ in Coulomb excitation”, etc.
- Remember $\Gamma=\Gamma_\gamma+\Gamma_p+...$ for resonance, so note any assumptions such as ‘ $\Gamma=\Gamma_{\gamma0}+\Gamma_{\gamma1}$ ’ or ‘ $\Gamma=\Gamma_p$ ’.

Band Flag: (if relevant)

Isospin: very important for low A !

Decay Branches: for g.s. and $T_{1/2} \geq 0.1$ s levels, include all modes that might reasonably be expected.

← Example 2

XREF Flags:

- Use 'X(*)' if level from dataset X cannot be uniquely identified with level in question.
- Use 'X(energy)' to resolve any ambiguity due to poor energy match between adopted level and dataset X level.
← Example 3
- Watch for systematic energy scale deviations between various reaction studies.
- Avoid associating a transfer reaction level with an adopted level whose configuration it could not excite.

← Example 4

Moments (μ , Q): static, model-independent values.

- Summarized in 89Ra17 (evaluation) and 01StZZ (listing).
- State method used.
- Mention standards used, corrections applied (e.g., Sternheimer).
- Signs matter.

- Convert g-factor data to μ . ← Example 5

B(L λ) \uparrow :

Give only when measured, but
branching or $T_{1/2}$ unknown.

$\langle r^2 \rangle$ (DAVRSQ): include data in
comment on g.s. (or isomer) if available.

Gamma Properties

Energy:

- If E came from level energy difference, say so and recalculate after GTOL run (without that E_γ included, of course).

Rel. Branching: scale I_γ so strongest branch is 100; omit uncertainty if only 1 branch. Give TI for E0 or fully converted transitions.

Exceptions:

← Example 6

- Strongest line is multiply placed (&).
- Strongest line is given as a limit.
- Transition is within an SD band.

Mult.:

- [mult] means 'deduced solely from level scheme'; use [E2], etc., if need to calculate transition prob. or CC for line with no exptl. mult.
- Convert 'D' or 'Q' to '(E1)', '(E2)', etc., only if needed for calculation; specify how $\Delta\pi$ was deduced.

- Remember that ‘M1,E2’ and ‘M1+E2’ are not equivalent.

Mixing Ratio:

- Include sign, if known. Absence of sign indicates modulus δ .
- If 2 solutions, give both in comment, none in MR field.
- Watch for cases where expt. gives higher limit than RUL allows.

Conv. Coeff.: give when significant.

Red. Transn. Probs.:

- Give whenever calculable.
- If δ overlaps 0 or ∞ , calculate for D or Q only.
- Calc. for [E1], [E2], [$\Delta J > 2$].
- Watch for data given as limit. ← Example 7

E0 Transitions:

Quote $\rho^2(E0)$ from 99Wo07.

Omit from Adopted Gammas:

- Coincidence information.
- 2_G records from HSICC.
- Unplaced gammas.

167IR ADOPTED LEVELS
 167IR C Production: 92MO(78KR,p2n) E=357, 384 MEV (1997DA07).
 167IR C Identification: 1981HO10 unambiguously assign a new |a group to 167IR
 167IR2C by relating it to known transitions through a multi-dimensional
 167IR3C analysis correlating parent energies, daughter energies, and the
 167IR4C timing of events. The production reactions involved 58NI on
 167IR5C molybdenum-tin targets and 107AG on vanadium-nickel targets
 167IR C For calculation of proton decay widths for 167IR GS and isomer see
 167IR2C 2000DA11.
 167IR Q 11760 SY-1070 6 6507 5 1995AU04,1997DA07
 167IR CQ |DS(n)=300 (1995AU04).
 167IR CQ QA\$from measured EA=6351 5 (1997DA07) for GS to GS transition; 1995AU04
 167IR2CQ give QA=6495 50, reflecting lack of information concerning daughter
 167IR3CQ state at that time.
 167IR CQ SP From measured EP=1064 6 (1997DA07) for GS to GS transition;
 167IR2CQ SP=-1110 10 in 1995AU04.
 167IR XA171AU A DECAY (1.02 MS)
 167IR XB78KR(92MO,2NPG)
 167IR L 0 (1/2+) 35.2 MS 20
 167IR2 L %A=48 6 (1997DA07)\$%P=32 4 (1997DA07)\$%EC+%B+=?
 167IRX L XREF=B
 167IR CL J comparison of calculated and measured partial lifetimes for
 167IR2CL p decay rule out d{-3/2} and h{-11/2} transitions, so 1997DA07 conclude
 167IR3CL that an L=0 p is emitted to the 0+ GS of 166OS.
 167IR CL %A,%P From relative intensities of |a and p decay from level,
 167IR2CL assuming ...

Example 1: new Q measurements

192PO ADOPTED LEVELS, GAMMAS 98NDS 199809
 192PO H TYP=FUL\$AUT=Coral M. Baglin\$CIT=NDS 84, 717 (1998)\$CUT=1-Aug-1998\$
 192PO C Identification: excitation functions for 182W(20NE,XN) (1977DE32).
 192PO C Activity (T=0.5 S 1, EA=6580 KEV 40), attributed in 1958TO25 to 192PO,
 192PO2C disagrees with systematics and with data of 1981LE23.
 192PO CL J From (36AR,4NG); based on IG, |a|g|g coin, and level-energy
 192PO2CL systematics for neighboring nuclei, 1996HE22 tentatively identify
 192PO3CL the observed gammas with the E2 cascade of the GS band of 192PO.
 192PO CG E From (36AR,4NG); uncertainties not stated by authors.
 192PO Q 11.0E3 SY 2.2E3 SY 7320 7 1995AU04
 192PO CQ |DS(n)=360, |DS(p)=450 (1995AU04).
 192PO XA196RN A DECAY
 192PO XB160DY(36AR,4NG),
 192PO L 0.0 0+ 33.2 MS 14
 192POX L XREF=AB
 192PO2 L %A AP 99.5 \$ %EC+%B+ AP 0.5 \$
 192PO CL %A: only A DECAY observed. %(EC+B+) AP 0.4 can be
 192PO2CL estimated from gross B decay theory (partial T AP 8 S) (1973TA30), or
 192PO3CL AP 0.54 from partial BETA T of 6.1 S calculated by 1997MO25.
 192PO CL T from 1996BI17. Others: 34 MS 3 (1981LE23); 32 MS (1995MO14;
 192PO2CL from time difference between feeding |a and decay |a); 34 MS +80-20 and
 192PO3CL 52 MS +88-37 (1997PU01).
 192PO L 262 (2+)
 192POX L XREF=B
 192PO G 262

Example 2: decay branches


```
59NI  L 5821      10
59NIX L XREF= BN(*5830)
59NI CL          JPI=3/2+ FROM (POL P,D) AND L(P,D)=2 FOR 5821 AND/OR
59NI2CL 5844 LEVEL(S).
59NI  L 5844      10 (3/2+,5/2+)
59NIX L XREF=BN(*5830)
59NI CL          JPI=3/2+ FROM (POL P,D) AND L(P,D)=2 FOR 5821 AND/OR
59NI2CL 5844 LEVEL(S).
```

Example 3: XREF's

$^{169}\text{Tm}(d,p)$ Target: $1/2[411]_p$ g.s.
n stripped from d

^{170}Tm states must be $1/2[411]_p \otimes \Omega[xxx]_n$

Populated:

$$1/2[411]_p \pm 1/2[521]_n$$

$$1/2[411]_p \pm 5/2[512]_n$$

$$1/2[411]_p \pm 7/2[633]_n$$

$$1/2[411]_p \pm 3/2[521]_n$$

Not populated:

$$7/2[404]_p \pm 7/2[633]_n$$

$$1/2[541]_p \pm 5/2[512]_n$$

$$1/2[541]_p \pm 7/2[633]_n$$

167LU L 0.0+X 1/2(+) 1 M GE CM
 167LUX L XREF=B
 167LU2 L %EC+%B+=?\$%IT=?
 167LU3 L MOMM1=-0.0999 13 (1998GE13)\$
 167LU CL DAVRSQ(170LU,167LU)=-0.291 (1998GE13); 10% systematic
 167LU2CL uncertainty.
 167LU CL J,MOMM1: from collinear fast beam laser spectroscopy
 167LU2CL (1998GE13). PI based on proximity of MOMM1 to value expected for
 167LU3CL 1/2[411] orbital (-0.05) cf. that for the only other nearby J=1/2
 167LU4CL orbital (viz. 1/2[541], |m AP +0.7).
 167LU CL T estimated by 1998GE13; based on known rare-earth diffusion ...

Example 5: μ , $\Delta\langle r^2 \rangle$, etc.

	<u>Source Dataset</u>			<u>Adopted Dataset</u>	
	RI	TI		RI	TI
L 1847					
G 1200	50	5		67	7
G 1700	150	15	... &	20	LT
G 1847	75	8		100	10
L 2147					
G 1000	-	10.0	1	-	20.0
G 1500	25.0	25		50	5
G 2000	50	5		100	10
G 2147	150	LT		300	LT

Example 6: Photon branching

Reduced Transition Probability Calculations (Special Cases)

I: Data given as limit:

(i) $\delta(M1, E2) < 0.3$:

$B(E2)W$: give as upper limit.

$B(M1)W$: give av. of $B(M1)W(\delta=0)$ and $B(M1)W(\delta=0.3)$.

(ii) $T_1 < i$ for non-dominant branch:

Assign $1/2i \pm 1/2i$ to this transition to enable calculation of $B(L\lambda)W$'s for other branches.

(iii) $T_{1/2} < t$:

Give resulting lower limits on $B(L\lambda)W$'s.

(iv) $T_{1/2} > t$:

- Typically, forget it !
- However, $B(E2)W < 0.005$ or $B(E1)W < 2 \times 10^{-10}$ might, e.g., be worth mentioning.

II: When $T_{1/2}$ was calculated directly from $B(L\lambda)W$:

Calculate $B(L\lambda)W \downarrow$ from measured $B(L\lambda)W \uparrow$ and single-particle value (available from RULER).

183HG CL BAND(A) $1/2[521]$, $|a|=+1/2$ BAND.
 183HG2CL This band differs from that in adopted levels primarily because
 183HG3CL 1995SH04 assign an 88.9G (rather than the 86.5G) as the $5/2$ to $1/2$
 183HG4CL transition. Also, the tentatively-placed $41/2$ to $37/2$ transition has
 183HG5CL been omitted from adopted levels.
 183HG CL BAND(a) $1/2[521]$, $|a|=-1/2$ BAND.
 183HG2CL This signature partner differs significantly from that in adopted
 183HG3CL levels. All four transitions connecting this band with the $1/2[521]$
 183HG4CL $|a|=+1/2$ band are different; so are the 3 in-band transitions
 183HG5CL cascading to the $J=27/2$ member. Two of the latter are retained in
 183HG6CL adopted levels, but not as members of this band; the tentatively
 183HG7CL placed $39/2$ to $35/2$ 637G is not adopted.

Example 9: Discrepant Bands (b)

167YB CL BAND(a) $5/2[523]$, $|a=-1/2$ BAND (1976ME06,1995FI01).
 167YB2CL 1995FI01 suggest $3/2[521]$ or $1/2[521]$ for this band, but neither is
 167YB3CL compatible with earlier assignments (e.g., 1971AB04), for the low-J
 167YB4CL members of those bands. Note also that the 301 level, assigned by
 167YB5CL 1995FI01 as the $J=11/2$ member of this band, previously had been
 167YB6CL assigned (1976GR06,1976ME06) as the $11/2$ member of the $5/2[523]$ band,
 167YB7CL as adopted here. Based on band parameters, the $11/2$ $1/2[521]$ and $11/2$
 167YB8CL $3/2[521]$ levels would be expected at 730 and 540 KEV, respectively.
 167YB9CL THE $J=11/2$ THROUGH $31/2$ MEMBERS OF THIS BAND HAVE ENERGIES VERY CLOSE
 167YBACL TO THOSE OF THE $5/2[523]$ BAND IN THE ISOTONE 169LU, AND THE ALIGNMENT
 167YBBCL APPEARS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THIS BEING THE SIGNATURE PARTNER OF
 167YBCCL THE $5/2[523]$, $|a=+1/2$ BAND.
 167YB CL BAND(B) $5/2[642]$, $|a=+1/2$ BAND (1995FI01).
 167YB2CL CORIOLIS perturbed level spacing.
 167YB CL BAND(b) $5/2[642]$, $|a=-1/2$ BAND (1995FI01).
 167YB CL BAND(C) $1/2[521]$ BAND (1971AB04).
 167YB2CL $A=13.6$, $a=+0.71$ ($1/2$, $3/2$, $5/2$, $7/2$ levels); note that values for A
 167YB3CL and a are in excellent agreement with those expected for a $1/2[521]$
 167YB4CL band. However, see comment with the $PI=-$, $|a=-1/2$ band re a possibly
 167YB5CL conflicting assignment of this configuration.

Example 10: Discrepant Bands (c)